



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW


BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

A standard linear barcode is displayed vertically on a white rectangular background. The barcode represents the number 3 1761 061081188.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL STATE

**FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
SECOND TERM 2008**

PROFESSOR LORRAINE E. WEINRIB



THE CONSTITUTIONAL STATE

**FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
SECOND TERM 2008**

PROFESSOR LORRAINE E. WEINRIB

THE MODERN CONSTITUTIONAL STATE
TABLE OF CONTENTS
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO WINTER 2008

I. THE COMMON LAW CONSTITUTION

A. CONSTITUTIONALISM WITHOUT A CONSTITUTION

Note on Chief Justice Coke	1
The <i>Somerset</i> case	
Harlow & Rawlings, <i>Pressure Through Law</i> , extract	2
<i>Somerset v. Stewart</i> , 1772.....	3
Harlow & Rawlings, <i>Pressure Through Law</i> , extract	6
<i>Forbes v. Cochrane</i> , 1824	7
<i>Entick v. Carrington</i> , 1765	9
Allan, <i>Law Liberty and Justice</i>	11
Craig, Administrative Law 5 ed., "Human Rights the Common Law Background" ..	13

II: CONSTITUTIONAL SUPREMACY

B. THE WRITTEN CONSTITUTION

<i>Marbury v Madison</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1803	22
Kaplin, Historical Timeline for the Development of Federal Con Rights (U.S.)	23
Farber et al, <i>Constitutional Law</i> , Note on <i>Dred Scott</i>	26
<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1896	28
New York Times, "A Hemings Family Turns from Black, to White, to Black"	34
<i>Bradwell v. State</i> U.S. Sup Ct., 1873.....	35
<i>Personnel Administrator v Feeney</i> , U.S. Sup Ct, 1979	37
<i>Rostker v. Goldberg</i> , U.S. Supreme Ct, 1981.....	39
Canada: "race" cases, 1899 – 1914	42

C. THE POLICE POWER

<i>Lochner v. New York</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1908	45
Henkin et al, <i>Human Rights</i> , "Limitations on Rights", 194 ff.....	52
<i>Buck v Bell</i> , U.S. Supreme Court 1927.....	60
<i>West Coast Hotel v. Parrish</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1937	62
<i>Homosexuality Case</i> , German Constitutional Court, 1957.....	64
<i>Transsexual Case</i> , German Constitutional Court, 1979	69
<i>Washington v Davis</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1976.....	70
<i>McClesky v Kemp</i> U.S. Sup Ct 1987	72
<i>Bowers v Hardwick</i> , U.S. Sup Ct 1986.....	78
<i>Michael H v. Gerald D</i> , U.S. Supreme Court 1989.....	85

III. THE MODERN CONSTITUTIONAL STATE

D. OLD CONSTITUTIONS TRANSFORMED: IMPLIED OR STRUCTURAL RIGHTS

United States Supreme Court:	
Michael Klarman, “Race and Rights”, extracts	88
O’Brien, Constitutional Law & Politics, <i>Brown v. Board of Education</i>	
{introduction}	91
<i>Brown v. Board of Education</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1954	96
<i>Poe v. Ullman</i> , U.S Sup Ct, 1961	98
<i>Griswold v Connecticut</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1965	101
<i>Loving v. Virginia</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1967	104
<i>Roe v Wade</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1973	106
<i>Gratz v Bollinger et al.</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 2003	111
Ideas & Trends: Legal License; Race, Sex and Forbidden Unions,	
New York Times Article, 2003	114
Slate: “Sodomy Flaw”	116
Slate: “The Supreme Court Tries Sodomy”	119
<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 2003	123
New York Times, “The Supreme Ct Paved Way for Marriage Ruling	
with Sodomy Decision”	136
Washington Post, “What Gay Studies Taught the Court”	138
Time Magazine, “Should Incest be Legal?”	142
New York Times: Judicial Intent: The Competing Visions of the Role	
of the Court”	143
Slate, “Die Hardest”	145
House of Lords:	
<i>Ghaidan v. Mendoza</i> , House of Lords, 2004	148
<i>R. v Headteacher and Denbigh High School (Begum)</i> House of Lords, 2006 ..	153
Supreme Court of Canada:	
Weinrib, The SCC and the Implied Bill of Rights	161
Supreme Court of Israel:	
<i>Alice Miller v. Minister of Defence</i> , 1995	169

E. NEW CONSTITUTIONS: REMEDIAL, TRANSFORMATIVE, & . HUMAN RIGHTS-BASED

Donald Kommers and John Finn, “Judicial Review in Comparative Perspective”...	181
German Constitutional Court:	
<i>The Microcensus Case</i> , German Constitutional Court, 1969	185
<i>The Life Imprisonment Case</i> , German Constitutional Court, 1977	187
<i>S v. Makwanyane</i> , Constitutional Court (South Africa), 1995	191
<i>Minister of Home Affairs v Fisher</i> , Privy Council, 1979	206

F. LIMITATION ON RIGHTS

J. Rawls, <i>Political Liberalism</i> extract	210
Fred Berger, "Some Aspects of Legal Reasoning Concerning Constitutional Protected Rights" (U.S.)	213
L. Henkin et al, "Adjudicating Accommodation Between Rights and Public Interests".....	217
Weinrib, "Canada's Charter of Rights: Paradigm Lost?"	219
The Siracusa Principles on the Limitation and Derogation Provisions in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights – Limitation	230
P.P. Craig, "Proportionality", <i>Administrative Law</i> 1999 at 590	233
Gerhard Robbers, "Fundamental Rights – General Principles" (Germany).....	234
Helen Mountfield, "The Concept of a Lawful Interference with Fundamental Rights" (U.K.).....	239
Hickman, "Proportionality: Comparative Law Lessons"	248

G. LEGISLATIVE OVERRIDE

Canadian Charter s. 33 and Political Documents marking its origin.....	273
Weinrib, "The Notwithstanding Clause: the Loophole Cementing the Charter"	275
Lorraine E. Weinrib "The Canadian Constitution in the Age of Rights", extract.....	282
Open Letter to Stephen Harper #1 from Canadian Law Professors	289
Open Letter to Stephen Harper #2 from Canadian Law Professors	290
Editorial: "Mr. Harper Discards the Override Clause", <i>Globe & Mail</i>	292
Stephanopoulos, "The Case for the Legislative Override"	293

H. EMERGENCY

<i>Korematsu v United States</i> , U.S. Supreme Court, 1944	315
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 49	319
The Siracusa Principles on the Limitation and Derogation Provisions in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights—Derogation	320
Andras Jakab, German Con Law and Doctrine on State of Emergency.....	324
" <i>The Bellmarsh Case</i> ", House of Lords, 2005	342
Levinson, "Torture in Iraq & the rule of law in America"	367
Minow, "The Constitution as Black Box during National Emergencies"	372
Slate, "It was the Best of Habeas, It was the Worst of Habeas"	380
Ze'ev Segal, An emergency situation without an emergency"	384

I. AMENDMENT AND NON-AMENDMENT

David A. Strauss, "The Irrelevance of Constitutional Amendments"	386
Gerard Hogan & Gerry Whyte, "Immutability of Aspects of the Constitution?"	392
Gunter Durig, "Admissible and Inadmissible Amendments..." (Germany)	395
Richard Stith, "Unconstitutional Constitutional Amendments" (Nepal)	396
Zwart, "Judicial Activism in India" (2003) 30 J. Law & Society	399
Kelbley, Rawls on Unconstitutional constitutional amendments	405
<i>Romer v. Evans</i> , U.S. Supreme Court 1996	419